

Kaipara te Oranganui . Two Oceans Two Harbours

Submission Form (Form 5)

submission at any hearing

Submission on

Proposed Kaipara District Plan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Date received:

Submitter ID:

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed District Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission I	oy Monday	30	June	2025	via:
	17 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				

Email:

districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz (subject line: Proposed District Plan Submission) District Planning Team, Kaipara District Council, Private Bag 1001, Dargaville, 0340

Post: In person:

Kaipara District Council, 32 Hokianga Road, Dargaville; or Kaipara District Council, 6 Molesworth Drive, Mangawhai

If you would prefer to complete your submission online, from 28 April 2025 please visit: www.kaipara.govt.nz/kaipara-district-plan-review/proposed-district-plan

All sections of this form need to be completed for your submission to be accepted. Your submission will be checked for completeness, and you may be contacted to fill in any missing information.					
Full Name: CLIFF MATCHETT Phone: 02/0744/66					
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of Email: Cliff Matchett 2 quail Com					
Postal Address: 621 TARA RD MANGAWKAI					
Postcode: 05 (3 ·					
Address for Service: name, email and postal address (if different from above):					
Trade Competition					
Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:					
a) adversely affects the environment; and b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. RECEIVEL					
Please tick the sentence that applies to you:					
I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:					
I <u>am</u> directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission I <u>am not</u> directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission					
Signature:					
(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)					
Please note: all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresse for service, becomes public information.					
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so, I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar					

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)			(3) I seek the following decisions from Kaipara District Council. (Please give precise details for each provision. The more			
Chapter/ Appendix/ Schedule/Maps	objective/policy/ rule/standard/ overlay	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons included —	- attacl	ned.	specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)		
MAP/PLAN	V)	//				1 seek a decisión		
2 HIS TO RIG	BUILDINGS					allowing speafic subdivision of affected and parcel.		
Heritage	1 0					affected and parcel.		
Hentage	schedule					proposal on diagram incl.		
621 TA	CA ED -							
LOT					5	6		
DP 470	898 -					. 😽		
VAL NO-	_				2	<u> </u>		
01220	02500.					0		
DIST P	Mro							
H 35								
H30	<u> - </u>							
HPT 3	904							
- HPT.	\$907							

2) conta - cliff matchell sub. If this work is not undertaken in the near future of the timbers throughout the house will tun to dust. all Kauri floors must be lifted and all beavers, soists and foundation timbers replaced with H5 Tanalized timbers. a major undertaking requiring restaration specialist builders. additional work required included, possible new roof repainting inside tout, not in windows + leaking fixed The stone dairy also requires repairs and restoration and maintenerce approximate costs for all work required is close to \$200,000-00. plus, the ongoing costs of maintaining both buildings and keeping them in good consistion, which I intend to keep on doing, as I have shown, for the rest of my life, as I intend to remain here until then a My Family have vowed to live here and continue maintaining this intergenerational legacy property o without this request being granted, the opposite effect of the provision will occur... The preservation and restoration work required by me will not be able to proceed (Not eligible for a loan) hence the building affected will become a historic ruin Comprehensive building report, defailing work required will be available soon.

2) SUBMISSION - Cliff matchett_ A I oppose the provisions in plan and wish to have them amended. I accept and agree in principal that the two historic buildings concerned are to be kept on one title I not subdivided. always my intertion. The fact KDC requires the almost Five hectares of land parcel included to be unsubdivided off appears excessive and Manufestly unjust. My intention is to be able to subdivide two hectares from said parcel, a previously permitted activity. This will not affect The historic status of the buildings, it will enhance it - Insight : I've lived howed and paid rates for fourty years planted over ten thousand trees, personally, and spent many years and hundreds of thousands of dollars repairing restoring, conserving and maintaining both buildings which were in extrenely poor condition and leaking when I purchased the property in 1985. No when I purchased the property in 1985. No assistance or finding was available to me, all self Funded. Nowam a superannuant of limited finances, and subdivision and sale of part of the paral of land is required to fund the substantial costs of the Urgent restoration work required for the orgoing survival of Bowmar house, impections in recent years have revealed extensive DRY ROT contamination throughout the Kauri foundation timbers of the house requiring major remedial work.



P.T. O. - MATOS of Building T



